

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 OECD P 06141 121845 Z

43

ACTION EUR-10

INFO OCT-01 SS-14 ADP-00 EB-03 NSC-10 NSCE-00 CIAE-00

INR-09 NSAE-00 RSC-01 T-01 OMB-01 H-01 CIEP-01 PM-03

USIA-04 SCI-03 DODE-00 AEC-05 EA-13 L-02 OEP-01 NEA-06

RSR-01 EURE-00 /090 W

----- 031675

P R 121802 Z MAR 73

FM USMISSION OECD PARIS

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 9026

INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY ROME

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

C O N F I D E N T I A L OECD PARIS 6141

LIMDIS

E. O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: ENRG, OECD

SUBJECT: POSSIBLE CONSUMER COOPERATION ON ENERGY

REF: (A) STATE 044081 (B) OECD DOC. DIE/E/ PE/ 72.146 (1 ST  
REV) ADDENDUM 1 (C) OECD DOC. DIE/E/ PE/73.25

1. SUMMARY. ITALY AND UK HAVE SUGGESTED AREAS FOR  
CONSUMER COOPERATION ON ENERGY PURSUANT TO OECD STUDY  
CURRENTLY IN PROGRESS. END SUMMARY.

2. AS PART OF PROGRAM TO STUDY LONG- TERM ENERGY PROBLEMS  
IN DEPTH, OECD MEMBER COUNTRIES HAVE AGREED TO RELATIVELY  
RAPID STUDY OF OIL DEMAND- SUPPLY PROBLEMS AND PROSPECTS  
TO 1980. AN AD HOC ASSESSMENT GROUP HAS BEEN ORGANIZED  
BY THE OIL COMMITTEE TO PREPARE A REPORT FOR SUBMISSION  
TO HIGH- LEVEL GROUP OF OIL COMMITTEE ALONG WITH LIST OF  
POSSIBLE ALTERNATIVES FOR COOPERATIVE ACTION AMONG  
MEMBER COUNTRIES. ASSESSMENT GROUP EXPECTED TO COMPLETE  
ITS TASK AT MARCH 20-21 MEETING.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 OECD P 06141 121845 Z

3. SO FAR SPECIFIC SUGGESTIONS FOR COOPERATIVE ACTION HAVE BEEN RECEIVED FROM ITALY AND UK. ( SEE REFS ( B) AND ( C), WHICH SHOULD BE AVAILABLE IN EUR: RPE.) ITALY PROPOSES COOPERATIVE ACTION TO EXPAND ENERGY SUPPLY, INCLUDING PETROLEUM AND ALTERNATIVE ENERGY FORMS. IT CALLS FOR OPERNING AGREEMENTS WITH OIL PRODUCING COUNTRIES TO LARGESOLUTION ALSO VETOABLE. THIRD POSSIBILITY WAS BLAND ENCOURAGEMENT TO BOTH PARTIES CONTINUE NEGOTIATIONS AND ACHIEVE AGREEMENT " IN UN FRAMEWORK". I TOLD HIM THAT THIS WORDING WOULD ALSO BRING UN AND SC INTO PICTURE WHICH WE WOULD FIND OBJECTIONABLE. IF HE MEANT " IN THE SPIRIT OF THE CHARTER" WE COULD PERHAPS WORK OUT SOMETHING.

4. REGARDING PERMANENT SOVEREIGNTY OVER NATURAL RESOURCES, BOYD REALIZED THERE WAS PROBLEM WITH OTHER LAS , WHO FEAR WEAKENING OF RESES THIS SUBJECT BY OTHER UN ORGANS, AND WITH SC MEMBERS, WHO BELIEVE THIS NOT PROPER SUBJECT FOR SC TREATMENT. PERHAPS BEST SOLUTION WAS RES URGING OTHER UN ORGANS EXPEDITE THEIR CONSIDERATION THIS SUBJECT.

5. BOYD WAS PUZZLED ABOUT WHAT TO DO WITH COLONIALISM SINCE LA'S DIRECTLY CONCERNED DID NOT WANT TO BRING UP BELIZE OR FALKLAND ISLANDS. NOTED THAT CHAIRMEN OF COMMITTEE OF 24, APARTHEID COMMITTEE AND COUNCIL FOR NAMIBIA WOULD PRESENT-- NOT AT HIS REQUEST. I NOTED THAT AGENDA WAS SPECIFIC ABOUT LATIN AMERICA AND IT BEHOVED HIM AS PRESIDENT TO ASSURE THAT NON- MEMBERS STICK TO THE AGENDA.

6. DENUCLEARIZATION OF LA, BOYD FOUND ALSO A DIFFICULT SUBJECT AND IS OBVIOUSLY HOPING MEXICO' S GARCIA-ROBLES WILL CARRY THE BALL ON THIS ONE. IN ADDITION TO SOVIET OBJECTIONS HE SAID CHINESE HAD CALLED ITEM A " FARCE".

7 I TOLD BOYD THAT I THOUGHT AMB. SAYRE AND FONMIN TACK WERE WORKING OUT ARRANGEMENTS FOR CANAL VISIT. HE SEEMED MORE RELAXED ON THIS POINT AND AGREED THAT PRIMARY IDEA IS TO MAKE INFORMAL ARRANGEMENTS WITH WOULD NOT EMBARRASS EITHER GOVERNMENT.

8. BOYD ALSO EXPOUNDED AT LENGTH ABOUT CANAL NEGOTIATIONS  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 PANAMA 01259 121852 Z

ADOPTING WHAT TO HIM WAS A REASONABLE POSTURE. I LISTENED BUT MADE FEW COMMENTS. HE THOUGHT BIGGEST PSYCHOLOGICAL PROBLEM WAS POSSIBILITY THAT US PRESENCE AND ROLE WOULD EXTEND ANOTHER 90 YEARS. WHY NOT NEGOTIATE AN AGREEMENT UNTIL YEAR 2000 WHICH COULD BE RENEWABLE. WHEN I POINTED OUT THAT IF US BUILT THIRD SET OF LOCKS ON SEA- LEVEL CANAL THERE MUST BE SOME WAY FOR IT TO RECOVER ALL OR PART COSTS, BOYD SAID THAT IN THAT CASE DURATION OF THE TREATY WOULD NOT APPLY AND ARRANGEMENTS COULD BE MADE TO SEE THAT THE US COULD RECOVER COSTS. HE WAS PARTICULARLY CRITICAL OF US DEFENSE INSTALLATIONS LEADING ME TO BELIEVE THIS WILL BE MAJOR PART IN HIS SC SPEECH. HE SUGGESTED IF SHOULD BE POSSIBLE ACHIEVE JOINT DEFENSE ARRANGEMENT.

9. COMMENT: BOYD WAS RELAXED BUT WILL UNDOUBTEDLY COME UNDER INCREASING PRESSURE HERE AS MAGNITUDE AND DIFFICULTIES-- BOTH ADMINISTRATIVE AND SUBSTANTIVE-- OF SC MEETING BECOME MORE APPARENT. IF GOP STILL BENT ON DECLARATION OR SOMETHING LIKE IT, I HOPE WE HAVE MADE MORE PROGRESS TOWARD A FORMAT MORE ACCEPTABLE TO US. SAYRE

CONFIDENTIAL

\*\*\* Current Handling Restrictions \*\*\* LIMDIS  
\*\*\* Current Classification \*\*\* CONFIDENTIAL

## Message Attributes

**Automatic DecapTIONing:** Z  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 12 MAR 1973  
**DecapTION Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**DecapTION Note:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** worrelsw  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1973OECDP06141  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GDS  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** OECD PARIS  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1973/newtext/t19730324/aaaahjq.tel  
**Line Count:** 143  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** LIMDIS  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** LIMDIS  
**Reference:** 73 STATE 44081  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** worrelsw  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:** ANOMALY  
**Review Date:** 23 JUL 2001  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <23-Jul-2001 by izenbei0>; APPROVED <21-Aug-2001 by worrelsw>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** <DBA CORRECTED> jms 971117  
**Subject:** POSSIBLE CONSUMER COOPERATION ON ENERGY  
**TAGS:** ENRG, OECD  
**To:** EC BRUSSELS  
EUR  
LONDON  
ROME  
SECSTATE WASHDC  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

